UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,187	06/30/2003	Heume II Baek	054358-5097	8785
, - -	7590 10/08/200 VIS & BOCKIUS LLP	1	EXAMINER	
	LVANIA AVENUE N	W	PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/608,187	BAEK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JEFF PIZIALI	2629	

	JEFF PIZIALI	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelication (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			oaase
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,9,10 and 12.		I be entered and an e.	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4.5.7.8.13 and 14.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Jeff Piziali/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2629	

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The Applicant is thanked for the Amendment (filed 22 September 2008).

However, if entered, the Applicant's proposed claim amendment(s) in the Amendment (filed 22 September 2008) would newly introduce at least the limitations:

"An electric field alignment method of a ferroelectric liquid crystal display device including a liquid crystal panel having a plurality of data lines, a plurality of gate lines and a plurality of thin film transistors arranged in a zigzag configuration between adjacent data lines of the data lines and having a ferroelectric liquid crystal material, comprising: supplying a gate voltage at a level greater than a threshold voltage of the thin film transistors during an electric field alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystal material to the plurality of gate lines, the electric field alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystal material is performed in a period that the ferroelectric liquid crystal material is transitioned from a nematic phase to a smectic phase, wherein the gate voltage is supplied to the gate lines in a range of from ten to four-hundred times during the electric field alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystal material inverting a polarity of a data voltage for the electric field alignment every time when the gate voltage is supplied to the gate lines and supplying the inverted data voltage for the electric field alignment to the data lines, wherein an electric field generated from the inverted data voltage is applied to the ferroelectric liquid crystal material by using a leakage current of the thin film transistors" to independent claim 1.

"A ferroelectric liquid crystal display device, comprising: a liquid crystal panel having a plurality of data lines a plurality of gate lines and a plurality of thin film transistors arranged in a zigzag configuration between adjacent data lines of the data lines and having a ferroelectric liquid crystal material a gate driving circuit for supplying a gate voltage to the plurality of gate lines, the gate voltage set at a level above a threshold voltage of the thin film transistors during an electric field alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystal material, the electric field alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystal material is performed in a period that the ferroelectric liquid crystal material is transitioned from a nematic phase to a smectic phase, wherein the gate voltage is supplied to the gate lines in a range of from ten to four-hundred times during the electric field alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystal material; and a data driving circuit for inverting a polarity of a data voltage for the electric field alignment every time when the gate voltage is supplied to the gate lines and supplying the inverted data voltage for the electric field alignment to the data lines, wherein an electric field generated from the inverted data voltage is applied to the ferroelectric liquid crystal material by using a leakage current of the thin film transistors" to independent claim 9.

Such limitations if incorporated into present claim language would dramatically alter inventive scope of the claims, requiring additional search and consideration. Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

By such reasoning, non-entry of the proposed Amendment (filed 22 September 2008) is deemed proper and necessary at this time.

/Jeff Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629 26 September 2008